External job satisfaction factors improving the overall job satisfaction of selected five-star hotel employees
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Introduction

Five-star hotels in South Africa are considered to match international standards and to denote exceptional quality (TGCSA, 2011). These hotels are therefore supposed to provide, in line with objective five of the 2011 National Tourism Sector Strategy (NTSS) (NDT, 2011:19), "a world-class visitor experience" to its guests. Guests of five-star hotels have high service expectations and anticipate every employee at the establishment to display a friendly and helpful demeanour, willingness to assist in any situation and proactively provide information on an ongoing basis (TGCSA, 2011:25). Employee satisfaction can result in guest satisfaction (Harter, Schmidt & Hayes, 2002:273; Vilares & Coelho, 2003:1704) and in order for five-star hotel employees to render a world class visitor experience, the provision of decent work and job satisfaction of these employees is of great importance.

Saharan Africa shows a high risk of social unrest which influences the provision of decent work (ILO, 2012). The South African hospitality industry has been identified as a "high risk and problem sector" by the Department of Labour (BUSA, 2010:3) and is not regarded as a provider of decent work (NDT, 2011:53).

One of the 2011 South African National Tourism Sector Strategy objectives is to "provide excellent people development and decent work within the tourism sector". The hospitality industry is, however, not regarded as a provider of decent work and a close link exists between decent work and job satisfaction. External job satisfaction can be defined as satisfaction relating to the work environment of employees. The purpose of this study was to identify the external job satisfaction factors which contribute to overall job satisfaction of employees in selected five-star hotels. The instrument used to gather the quantitative data was a newly developed index based on the literature review conducted. The empirical study was conducted among 124 employees of four five-star hotels in the Western Cape. All three factors identified (supervision, work environment and work itself) were found to be significantly and positively correlated with overall job satisfaction in five-star hotels in the Western Cape.
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Introduction

Five-star hotels in South Africa are considered to match international standards and to denote exceptional quality (TGCSA, 2011). These hotels are therefore supposed to provide, in line with objective five of the 2011 National Tourism Sector Strategy (NTSS) (NDT, 2011:19), "a world-class visitor experience" to its guests. Guests of five-star hotels have high service expectations and anticipate every employee at the establishment to display a friendly and helpful demeanour, willingness to assist in any situation and proactively provide information on an ongoing basis (TGCSA, 2011:25). Employee satisfaction can result in guest satisfaction (Harter, Schmidt & Hayes, 2002:273; Vilares & Coelho, 2003:1704) and in order for five-star hotel employees to render a world class visitor experience, the provision of decent work and job satisfaction of these employees is of great importance.

The term decent work was coined by Juan Somavia, the former Director General of the International Labour Organization (ILO), when he stated that the ILO's primary goal was "to promote opportunities for women and men to obtain decent and productive work, in conditions of freedom, equity, security and human dignity" (ILO, 2012). The ILO states that the international society is becoming progressively anxious about the lack of decent jobs and sub-
Cup and the global recession. These hotels are, however, recovering and the forecasted occupancy rate for 2016 is 70.5% (PwC, 2012:3, 21). The importance of providing decent work and employee job satisfaction becomes even more important in such circumstances. Limited research has been done regarding hotel employee satisfaction in South Africa. A study into external job satisfaction in five-star hotels in the Western Cape was necessary, to enable these hotels to reap the benefits associated with job satisfaction, to deliver a world-class visitor experience, and to become a provider of decent work.

**Literature review**

Investigations into the environment in which employees operate as well as previous researchers' findings on job satisfaction variables are important considerations as the bases of a South African hospitality job satisfaction study. An overview is provided of the South African hospitality industry and its work environment. Job satisfaction is investigated in terms of previous studies and applicable variables.

**The South African hospitality industry**

Tourism is one of the largest sectors in the world (NDT, 2011:4). The South African tourism sector has developed significantly since the country’s first democratic election in 1994 (January-Mclean, 2010:1). Between 1993 and 2008 the number of foreign arrivals into the country has more than tripled (NDT, 2011:1). The 2012 Tourism Satellite Account for South Africa points out that tourism directly contributed 3% of the gross domestic product of South Africa in 2010 (Stats SA, 2012b:3). Tourism in South Africa is divided into five sub-sectors, namely hospitality; travel and tourism; conservation and tourism guiding; gaming and lotteries; and sport, recreation and fitness (THETA & DEAT, 2007:9). Hospitality is the largest of these sub-sectors and also employs the largest number of employees in the tourism sector (THETA, 2010:28).

In 2005, hotels generated 63.98% of the total income generated by accommodation establishments in South Africa, with the remaining 36.02% being generated by guest houses, guest farms, caravan and camp sites and vacation homes (Stats SA, 2009:73-74). The hotel category was the largest employer in 2009, employing 61% of the total number of people employed in accommodation establishments (Stats SA, 2012a:4).

Accommodation establishments are graded from one to five stars by the Tourism Grading Council of South Africa (TGCSA). Hotels with a five-star rating are considered to match international standards and denote exceptional quality (TGCSA, 2011). The province with the most five-star hotels in South Africa is the Western Cape (TGCSA, 2011). The Western Cape was named the best destination in Africa in 2008 (De Jager, 2012), while in 2011 Cape Town was named the world's top destination at the annual TripAdvisor Travellers’ Choice Awards (South African Tourism, 2011).

For these reasons, a study was warranted in this province, as the biggest opportunity to deliver a world-class visitor experience lies with five-star hotels and South Africa should aim to be at the top of travellers’ wish-lists.

Employees are an important resource in the hospitality industry (Hai-yan & Baum, 2006:509; Lee & Way, 2010:344), and therefore also for accommodation establishments. One of the 2011 NTSS objectives is to “provide excellent people development and decent work within the tourism sector” (NDT, 2011:16). The hospitality industry’s profile as a career of choice is, however, poor. Low pay, long irregular work hours and casual, part-time, seasonal or temporary employment are problems faced by hospitality employees in South Africa (CATHSSETA, 2013:18-19).

As decent work is one of government’s priorities it is also important that the hospitality industry demonstrates to government that it is a provider of decent work (NDT, 2011:53). Decent work includes opportunities for work that delivers a fair income, provides security in the workplace, gives people the freedom to express their concerns, organise and participate in decisions that affect their lives and guarantees equal treatment for all (Global Unions, 2008:7). High external job satisfaction of hospitality employees can provide evidence that the hospitality industry is a provider of decent work and should therefore be of importance to all hospitality employers and managers.

**Job satisfaction**

There are many definitions available for job satisfaction (Locke, 1969:316; Smith, Kendall & Hulin, 1969:7; Weiss, 2002:175; Drafke, 2009:406). Judge, Hulin and Dalal (2009:5) described job satisfactions as multidimensional psychological responses to one’s job, with evaluative and emotional components. Judge *et al.* (2009) intentionally defined job satisfactions in the plural to include satisfaction with specific job aspects and not only overall job satisfaction (Judge *et al.*, 2009:5). The plural job satisfaction concept makes the definition of Judge *et al.* (2009) unique. This study includes satisfaction with specific job aspects, as well as overall satisfaction. The definition of Judge *et al.* (2009) therefore aligns perfectly with the current research project. For ease of reading, however the term “job satisfaction” will be used rather than “job satisfactions” in this article.

Although an extensive number of studies have been conducted on job satisfaction (Karsh, Booske & Sainfort, 2005; Wetprasit, 2006; Zeffane, Ibrahim & Mehairi, 2008; Yang, 2009), limited research has been conducted on job satisfaction in the hospitality industry (Tian & Pu, 2008:469) and more specifically five-star hotels. Some of the studies which have been conducted on hospitality and linked with job satisfaction include Lee and Way’s (2010) study on individual employment characteristics of hotel employees that play a role in employee satisfaction and worker retention, Fogaratnam and Buchanan's (2004) study...
which was based on stress of employed hospitality students and Murray-Gibbons and Gibbons’ (2007) study on occupational stress in the chef profession. Birdir (2002) also conducted a study on general manager turnover in hotels and holiday villages in Turkey.

Over the years, researchers have investigated and identified many determinants of job satisfaction by use of theories, models and surveys. Drafke (2009:406-407) suggested that determinants of job satisfaction can be divided into three dimensions, namely internal, individual and external job satisfaction, each of which contains different job satisfaction variables. Internal variables are intrinsic in the work itself (Drafke, 2009:406) and include the physical work (Berger & Brownell, 2009:304; Drafke, 2009:407) and workload (Chimanikire, Mutandwa, Gadzirayi, Muzondo & Mutandwa, 2007:173; Ommen, Driller, Köhler, Kowalski, Ernstmann, Neumann, Steffen & Pfaff, 2009:6). Individual variables are mainly concerned with the individual and his or her family and friends (Drafke, 2009:415). Individual variables that influence job satisfaction include emotional intelligence, as found amongst food service employees in a study conducted by Sy, Tram and O’Hara (2006:466), as well as commitment to the job (Pool & Pool, 2007:366; Drafke, 2009:416). External variables are related to the working environment, as well as to the work itself, and are easier to change or to separate from the work itself than internal variables (Drafke, 2009:409). The authors define external job satisfaction as satisfaction relating to the work environment of employees.

Overall job satisfaction can be defined as a holistic achievement of internal, external and individual job satisfaction. The authors acknowledge the existence of internal and individual job satisfaction dimensions. This article, however, only focuses on the external job satisfaction dimension, as it is the least difficult dimension for management to alter.

A literature review was conducted to establish external job satisfaction variables that can contribute to overall job satisfaction. Trust in leadership (Bartram & Casimir, 2007:14), support from supervisors (Chou & Robert, 2008:220) and relationship with the supervisor (Berger & Brownell, 2009:303) are very important in ensuring the satisfaction of employees. Berger and Brownell (2009:303) state that in the hospitality industry, company policies and procedures are important and wellness opportunities are growing in popularity to take better care of employees. Some hospitality employers are offering increased benefits, transportation and bonuses to keep employees happy (Barrows & Powers, 2009:53).

Drafke (2009:409) stated that achievement, role ambiguity, role conflict, opportunity for advancement, job security, social interactions, relationship with co-workers, quality and fairness of supervision, management style, organisational culture, work schedules, compensation and seniority influence overall job satisfaction. In addition, tenure (Karsh et al., 2005:1277), work hours and employment status can also contribute to job satisfaction (Booth & Van Ours, 2008:F88-89). In a hotel study conducted in America, the employee’s status within the organisation, the location of the business and the department of employment contributed to job satisfaction (Lee & Way, 2010:350-352).

In a study conducted amongst nurses, the availability of up-to-date and readily available equipment was a major predictor of job satisfaction (Penz, Stewart, D’Arcy & Morgan, 2008:797). Likewise, if the maintenance manager of a hotel does not have the equipment available to do the job properly, he or she may become frustrated and dissatisfied with the inability to successfully complete assigned tasks. The extent to which a job requires the completion of an identifiable piece of work is called task identity (Hackman & Oldham, 1976:257). Task identity has been found to result in relaxation (Saavedra & Kwun, 2000:142), which can have a positive effect on job satisfaction. Lastly, respondents who participated in the study of Liu and White (2011:60) commonly identified the ability to provide a social service as a determinant of their job satisfaction.

Method

Although all the above mentioned variables were found to influence job satisfaction in previous studies, there is no proof that they will influence job satisfaction in South African hotels. The methods used during the study started with determining the population. The population for the study was all levels of employees at five-star hotels in the Western Cape. Estimating the definite size of the population was practically impossible. No sampling technique was used to select hotels for this study, as all 35 five-star hotels in the Western Cape were invited to partake in the study via emails and telephone calls. The researcher personally visited hotels in the Cape Town area that had not responded to the emails and telephone calls, in an attempt to persuade more hotels to participate in the study. Ultimately, after all the researcher’s efforts, four hotels agreed to participate in the research. The hotels were diverse and offered a good selection of varying environments. They were geographically widespread, with one hotel situated on a golf estate, another in the wine lands, and the other two in Cape Town itself. The hotels also varied in terms of type, with two hotels forming part of a corporate chain and the other two being independent hotels.

Design and measurement instrument

A quantitative research design was better suited to this research study than a qualitative design. Firstly, a significant amount of literature was available on potential determinants of job satisfaction. Secondly, the research focus was broad and encompassed numerous variables and finally, there was limited time available for respondents to participate in the study due to their busy work schedules (Leedy & Ormrod, 2010:107). Therefore, a qualitative design such as personal interviews and focus group discussions were not practically possible as it would have been too time consuming. The instrument used to gather the data was a newly developed questionnaire based on the literature review conducted and
Popular surveys used to measure job satisfaction are the JDI or Job Descriptive Index (Smith et al., 1969), on which seven variables were based (variables 4; 6; 8; 9; 10; 13 and 20 in Table 2), and the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire, also known as the MSQ, (Weiss, Dawis, England & Lofquist, 1967) on which eight variables were based (variables 5; 6; 8; 9; 10; 20; 23 and 27).

The questionnaire of Lee and Way (2010), which was recently developed specifically for use in the hospitality industry, was the bases of three variables (variables 4; 25 and 26). Some variables were based on more than one existing questionnaire, some on only one and others only on the literature review conducted (variables 1; 2; 3; 7; 11; 12; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18; 19; 21; 22; 24; 28 and 29). The phrasing method and five-point Likert scale of the MSQ was adopted to rate the satisfaction with each of the external job satisfaction variables, where 1 equals strongly disagree (thus highly dissatisfied) and 5 strongly agree and consequently highly satisfied (Weiss et al., 1967).

The final question, i.e. "overall I am satisfied with my job", was used for statistical purposes as the dependent variable. A single-item measure of satisfaction was used since it provides a more complete picture of an employee’s job satisfaction. According to Wanous, Reichers and Hudy (1997:250), the single-item measures of job satisfaction are more robust than a multiple-item scale measure of overall job satisfaction.

Following the development of the questionnaire, a pilot study was conducted at a five-star hotel in Bloemfontein, selected for the sake of convenience. The pilot study was conducted under the same conditions as the main study. Eighteen questionnaires were gathered in total. Minor adjustments were made to the questionnaire after the pilot study, and it was found that an average of 15 minutes was required for completion.

Data collection

The empirical study was conducted in February 2012. One specific day for data collection was arranged with each of the hotels who agreed to participate in the study. In each case, the researcher distributed the questionnaires to all levels of employees (part-time and full-time) working the early, late and 08h00 to 17h00 shifts in all departments of the hotel. Additional questionnaires were left at the hotel for employees who were busy at the time of data collection and for employees working the night shift. The researcher offered to interview illiterate employees and collected all completed questionnaires on the same day. Participating hotels also had the opportunity to return late questionnaires to the researcher, allowing all employees who had worked on the data collection day (including the night shift) an equal opportunity to participate in the study.

Reliability and validity

McMillan and Schumacher (2006:186) identified the Cronbach α coefficient as the best method to study the reliability of survey research when not dealing with a choice between two items, but rather a series of possible answers.

Representatives of the participating hotels were asked to approve the questionnaire prior to data collection. Their approval of the questionnaire as a measurement of employees’ job satisfaction enhanced the face validity of the questionnaire (Leedy & Ormrod, 2010:92). Since the job satisfaction questionnaire in this case was based on measurement instruments that had all already been validated, namely the MSQ (Weiss et al., 1967), JDI (Smith et al., 1969) and the questionnaire of Lee and Way (2010), the likelihood of construct validity (Bless, Higson-Smith & Kagee, 2006:159) of the current measurement instrument was high. Although the likelihood of construct validity was high, this was uncertain in a South African context. Bless et al. (2006:157) stated that if an instrument is based on the literature of the relevant research field and the instrument measures all the different components of the variable in question, the instrument is sure to have high content validity (Babbie & Mouton, 2008:123). The content validity of the measuring instrument in this case was proven by being founded on applicable literature and previous job satisfaction questionnaires.

Descriptive statistics, factor analysis and stepwise logistic regression analysis were used to analyse the data. Descriptive statistics in the form of frequency tables, medians and an interquartile range (IQR) for respondents were computed, since the median is a better reflection of central tendency for a skewed data set. Maximum-likelihood factor analysis was conducted to determine a factor structure. Stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to determine to what degree the factors contributed to overall job satisfaction as well as what their combined influence was.

Results

Response rate

Table 1 depicts the response rate of the employees who completed the questionnaire at each of the four hotels. Although the total response rate may appear low (33%), this is not uncommon for hospitality-related studies. According to Keegan and Lucas (2005:158), researching in the hospitality industry is more challenging than in many other industries and low response rates are likely.
Table 1: Response rate of hotel employees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hotel</th>
<th>Number of employees onsite on research day</th>
<th>Returned questionnaires</th>
<th>Response rate of employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hotel A</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel B</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel C</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel D</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample profile

A total of 124 completed questionnaires were collected from respondents at the four participating hotels. The race distribution was as follows: 37% Coloured respondents, followed closely by Africans at 33% and Whites at 28%. There were only 2% Asian respondents and no other races reported.

Hospitality enterprises in South Africa are known to employ many unskilled workers (DEAT, 2005:17). This contradicts the findings of the current study, with 59% of respondents having stated high school as the highest level of qualification completed. Of the respondents, 33% had completed a tertiary diploma and 5% a tertiary degree. More than half (60%) of the respondents had a hospitality-related qualification. It should be noted, however, that some of the respondents who identified high school as their highest qualification also claimed to have a hospitality-related qualification, leading to the conclusion that the “qualification” was most likely a hospitality-related school subject such as Home Economics or Hospitality Studies. Of the respondents, 39% had no dependents and 54% had never been married. The sample was relatively young, with 45% of respondents between the ages of 26 and 35 years and another 31% between the ages of 18 and 25 years. The sample was well balanced in terms of gender, with slightly more men (53%) than women (47%).

Table 2 displays the main results of the study. The level of satisfaction with each external job satisfaction variable is noted as well as the median and the loadings of each item onto the factors.

Table 2: Statistical analysis (Factor solution: maximum likelihood with orthogonal rotation)
It is evident from Table 2 that 56% of respondents were satisfied with their understanding of what their job entails (variable 1: role ambiguity) and a further 38% were very satisfied. Respondents were also satisfied (61%) and very satisfied (30%) with task identity (variable 3). With regard to department of employment (variable 26), 61% of respondents were satisfied and 27% very satisfied with this external job satisfaction variable. Only 3% of respondents were very satisfied with the variable, other benefits (variable 22).

All the variables had a median of four, with the exception of wellness opportunities, which had a median of two, and compensation, fringe benefits, other benefits and company policies and procedures, which had medians of three. The lower quartile was the lowest for wellness opportunities, for which a lower quartile value of one was calculated. The highest upper quartile value of five was calculated for role ambiguity, task identity, relationship with co-workers, trust in leadership and department of employment. For these five variables, a median of four and an interquartile range of four to five were calculated, indicating that respondents reported the highest level of satisfaction with these variables.

A large number of respondents did not answer all the items. The missing data were imputed using LISREL’s (Jöreskog & Sörbom, 2001) matching imputation, because Likert scale data are ordinal. Not all of the data points could be imputed, but full data was obtained for 96 of the respondents.

Next, the items were analysed using maximum-likelihood factor analysis to determine any combined factors. A scree plot (Figure 1) indicated that although 11 items had eigenvalues greater than 1, a two- or three factor solution would be best.

Figure 1: Maximum likelihood scree plot for 29 items

Accordingly, two- and three factor solutions were specified, but upon inspection the three factor solution presented the clearest definition of the various factors. Table 2 shows the rotated factor pattern for the three factor solution. It should be noted that not all the item loadings were ideal from the perspective of optimised convergent and discriminant validity. Ideally, each item would load on only one factor, with a loading of >=-|.40|, and have loadings close to zero on all other factors, but at least lower than |.25| (Wille, 1996:25-26; Raubenheimer, 2004:61). Items in the range |.25–|.39| are marked in black in Table 2, and items >=-|.40| in grey. Some items showed weak loadings on all three factors (e.g. complete service). Job security showed strong loadings on more than one factor, and some items showed very similar loadings on more than one factor (e.g. income). Upon inspection of the item content, it was decided to name the three factors “Supervision”, “Work Environment” and “Work Itself”.

Despite the relatively minor problems in the factor structure, it was decided not to pursue further modifications (primarily because the sample of 96 was relatively small for a clean factor structure of 29 items). The factors were thus constructed of the sum of the superscripted items marked in Table 2, where each item was assigned to the factor on which it showed the strongest loading, even if that loading was relatively weak, and where items showed relatively similar loadings on more than one factor, the item was assigned to both. The three factors showed reliabilities of 0.92 for supervision (14 items), 0.80 for work environment (9 items), and 0.76 for work itself (9 items). Table 3 shows the Pearson (1896) correlations between the various factors.

Table 3: Correlation matrix for defined factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervision</th>
<th>Work Environment</th>
<th>Work Itself</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall satisfaction, the variable of interest, was measured on three levels (Table 4), with the higher score showing greater satisfaction. It is evident that most of the respondents showed high levels of overall job satisfaction.

Table 4: Frequency distribution for overall job satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of satisfaction</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>77.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next, Spearman (1904) correlations were calculated between these factors and the single (ordinal) item overall satisfaction, as shown in Table 5. In short, all three factors correlated significantly and positively with overall satisfaction, indicating that all three factors could act as predictors of overall job satisfaction.

Table 5: Spearman correlations between defined factors and overall job satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Satisfaction</th>
<th>Supervision</th>
<th>Work Environment</th>
<th>Work Itself</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The final question to be answered was to what degree the three defined factors contributed to overall satisfaction and what their combined influence was. Due to the variable of interest being ordinal, it was decided to perform a stepwise logistic regression analysis, with overall satisfaction as the criterion, and the three defined factors (supervision, work environment and work itself) as the predictors. Only one predictor (work environment) was selected. The global test for the regression analysis was significant ($\chi^2 = 12.48, \text{df}=1$, $p<0.01$), as seen in Table 6.

### Table 6: Parameter estimates for logistic regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Wald Chi-Square</th>
<th>Pr &gt; ChiSq</th>
<th>Odds ratio with 95% Confidence limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.0292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Environment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression did not provide much additional information, in that the non-significance of the supervision and work itself predictors means that one cannot discern the combined influence of the various predictors on overall satisfaction, in other words the addition of more predictors does not result in a significantly better prediction of overall satisfaction. The reason for this non-significance is to be found in the relatively strong intercorrelations between the various predictors (Table 3). This gives rise to the problem of multicollinearity (see Pedhazur, 1982:233). Essentially, there is a large proportion of shared variance between the factors in the complete regression equation for the prediction of overall satisfaction, meaning they essentially all provide the same information in this context. This, of course, is not unexpected, as one would understand that the quality of supervision would itself be a strong predictor of the quality of the work environment, as also would the work itself. In order to better predict overall satisfaction, it may be better to consider the addition of other factors related to individual and internal job satisfaction, such as satisfaction with work load and physical work.

**Discussion and recommendations**

This study investigated external job satisfaction in selected five-star hotels in the Western Cape. To the researchers knowledge it is the first study of its kind in the South African hospitality industry. Managers of five-star hotels can consider a number of recommendations related to external job satisfaction in an effort to improve the overall job satisfaction of employees.

**Supervision**

Supervisors can encourage employees to become well acquainted with the hotel policies and procedures. Supervisors should aim to be familiar with the job, adaptive, fair, supportive and trustworthy. All of this could lead to better relationship with employees, which might result in the employees being more innovative. Employees in five-star hotels are encouraged to go the extra mile for guests. This is even of more importance when one considers that the chance to deliver a service to others could improve job satisfaction. Supervisors can create opportunities for employees to achieve service objectives in order to improve their job satisfaction.

**Work environment**

A healthy organisational culture has been noted as important in the pursuit of a satisfied workforce. A hotel's culture requires total involvement and commitment from top management. To ensure a healthy culture, managers could state a clearly defined purpose for the company, be realistic and adaptable, and encourage a sense of service from the employees to the hotel itself. Employees' personal needs can also be matched to a five-star hotel with a culture capable of meeting those needs. Wellness opportunities could be used to foster a healthy culture, improve co-worker relationships and give employees the chance to meaningfully interact with one another. Most five-star hotels have spa and gym facilities on site; these could be used for improved employee wellness and can also improve the health of employees.

Employees could state their career goals on appointment to be utilised to determine whether certain promotional advancements are appropriate. Several individuals want to have a career rather than just perform a job. Since job security is of importance to many employees, five-star hotels could strive to decrease the number of part-time and casual positions and could perhaps rather transfer employees between departments where they are most needed during seasonal fluctuations. Employees then have the opportunity to earn more, receive fringe benefits associated with permanent employment and enjoy decent work.
Work itself

Role conflict can be defined as job roles interfering with one another, for example an employee is expected to complete a task, but is not given the necessary authority to do so, and should be avoided at all costs. It is difficult for an individual to be highly satisfied with the job when he or she does not know what that job entails, therefore the value and aspects of individual jobs should be explained to employees.

The availability and condition of equipment should frequently be checked and checklists to do so could be included in the policies and procedures of the hotel. Employees should be given the opportunity to deliver a complete service. This could mean that the same employee who checks the guest into the hotel can escort the guest to the room, explaining the facilities and building a relationship with the guest as they go. The additional time spent together can result in the employee being able to deliver a more individualised service to the guest, which is of utmost importance in a five-star hotel.

Due to the generally low wages paid in the hospitality industry, expenses such as childcare can be particularly stressful for employees. Day-care services offered by the employer in the form of day-care centres on or near the hotel property could have a big influence on employee satisfaction. This can serve as an addition to the employees’ salaries which would lower their financial burden. Partnered with flexible work schedules, parents will be able to visit their children in conventional work hours and this may result in a more relaxed and happy work force.

Should managers implement the above mentioned strategies for improving external job satisfaction, the five-star hotels could reap the benefits associated with job satisfaction, provide excellent people development and decent work within the tourism sector, and deliver a world-class visitor experience to its guests.

Limitations and directions for future research

The results of the study should be interpreted with caution due to the limitations of the study. The results cannot be generalised with confidence to other five-star hotels in the Western Cape or South Africa. The unwillingness of the majority of five-star hotels in the Western Cape to participate in the research project as well as the employee sample size can be regarded as limitations. Employees were free to decide whether or not to participate in the study – hence the absence of opinions from non-participating employees. Lastly, satisfaction can only be estimated through indicators and cannot be measured as clearly and precisely as profits (Vilares & Coelho, 2003:1708).

There is a need for future research into five-star hotels in other provinces of South Africa, and the findings could be compared with the current research. There is also a need for job satisfaction studies in other star-rated hotels, since to the best of the researchers' knowledge; no studies of this nature have been done in South Africa. The job satisfaction levels of employees employed at different star-rated hotels could then be compared through a more heterogeneous sample. To improve the response rate in future studies the data collection period could be scheduled in the off-peak seasons of the hotels. Should data-collection be possible in both the peak and off-peak seasons, it could be determined whether the responses to questionnaires differ based on the possible changing stress levels of respondents and other changing factors.
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