Towards a new model of grit within a cognitive-affective framework of self-regulation

Grit – passion and perseverance for long-term goals – has been empirically shown to be a positive predictor of success across multiple contexts. The current study developed a new framework of grit within a framework of self-regulatory behaviours. Here, a qualitative approach was assumed to obtain interview data from chief innovation officers and chief information officers within technologically intensive industries. Empirical evidence was used to inductively determine the underlying cognitive-affective processing that influences gritty behaviour. Overall, six strategies were identified: temporal perspective, perpetual evaluation, motivational orientation, strength and resource gathering, system thinking and framing. Organisations may utilise the grit model developed here to enhance the grittiness of their innovation leaders by building effective cognitive-affective strategies.

Introduction

Success has been related to traits such as lateral thinking capability, risk-taking behaviour, creativity and opportunity recognition. Qualitative studies by Winner (1996) and Bloom and Sosniak (1985) confirmed the importance of motivation and perseverance as essential characteristics of successful achievers, regardless of their domain or intelligence. Grit – passion and perseverance for long-term goals – is a proven important individual characteristic for the successful attainment of goals across diverse contexts (Duckworth et al. 2007). Features of grit include the behaviours that individuals exhibit such as working tirelessly to surpass challenges, maintaining prolonged interest, passion and effort, while overcoming adversity and failures (Duckworth et al. 2007). Complementing prior research on how innovation leaders succeed under adverse circumstances (Holland & Shepherd 2013; Mueller, Wolfe & Syed 2017), our research underscores the importance of self-regulatory strategies in enhancing grit.

The question of why some individuals are more successful than others has been the subject of extant research in the management and entrepreneurship literature (Bandura 1977; Baum & Locke 2004; Dyer, Gregersen & Christensen 2008). The importance of grit-related constructs as individual traits for success has been studied as passion and tenacity (Baum & Locke 2004); creativity, self-efficacy and goal-setting (Baum 2015); persistence (Holland & Shepherd 2013); resilience (Kossek & Perrigino 2016; Shin, Taylor & Seo 2012); and self-regulatory processes (Locke & Latham 2013; Mueller et al. 2017; Nambisan & Baron 2013; Vallendar, Houlfort & Forest 2014). Grit has been studied in relation to enhanced self-discipline (Duckworth & Kern 2011), sustained attention (DiMenichi & Richmond 2015), self-regulated learning (Wolters & Hussain 2015) and approaches to happiness (Von Culin, Tsukayama & Duckworth 2014). In the context of goal-directed behaviour, both grit and self-regulation highlight the importance of regulating behaviour under challenging circumstances to achieve long-term goals (Duckworth & Gross 2014). Recently, individual variations in grit were proposed to be derived from passion, via a self-regulatory mode (Mueller et al. 2017). In addition, the positive relationship between grit and self-regulation has been shown (Duckworth & Gross 2014). It therefore follows that the self-regulatory competence displayed by individuals may result in gritty behaviours.

Grit has been studied in relation to enhanced self-discipline (Duckworth & Kern 2011), sustained attention (DiMenichi & Richmond 2015), self-regulated learning (Wolters & Hussain 2015) and approaches to happiness (Von Culin, Tsukayama & Duckworth 2014). In the context of goal-directed behaviour, both grit and self-regulation highlight the importance of regulating behaviour under challenging circumstances to achieve long-term goals (Duckworth & Gross 2014). Recently, individual variations in grit were proposed to be derived from passion, via a self-regulatory mode (Mueller et al. 2017). In addition, the positive relationship between grit and self-regulation has been shown (Duckworth & Gross 2014). It therefore follows that the self-regulatory competence displayed by individuals may result in gritty behaviours.

In this study, a novel contribution is made by conceptualising grit within a cognitive-affective framework of self-regulation by drawing predominantly on theories from Duckworth and Gross (2014), Robertson-Kraft and Duckworth (2014) and Mischel and Ayduk (2002). We propose that innovation leaders utilise a range of self-regulatory cognitive-affective strategies that result in the necessary gritty behaviour that enables the successful attainment of their long-term goals. Here, we assumed an inductive approach to identify what strategies (if any) chief innovation officers (CInOs) and chief information officers (CIOs) utilise to succeed.
Theoretical background

In psychology research, grit has been defined as the pursuit of long-term goals with sustained passion and effort (Duckworth et al. 2007). Duckworth et al. (2007) developed a 12-item grit scale, which was condensed into an 8-item scale (Duckworth & Gross 2014), separating grit into two related dimensions: interest of consistency and perseverance of effort. Constructs such as grit and its related components have been studied as predictors of long-term success under arduous circumstances in multiple contexts (Baum & Locke 2004; Duckworth & Gross 2014; Duckworth, Quinn & Seligman 2009; Locke & Latham 2013; Vallerand et al. 2014; Wolters & Hussain 2015; Wrzesniewski 2004). Duckworth et al. (2007) proposed that grit should be differentiated from (while related to) the traditional Big Five trait of conscientiousness based on the relative importance of stamina (pursuing goals with high effort and intensity) because grit involves long-term commitment, not short-term intensity (Duckworth et al. 2009).

Grit has been suggested to be related to, but separate from, the need for achievement, which is a motivational theory dependent on the instantaneous feedback on performance (McClelland 1967). Gritty individuals stay focused on achieving their goals under circumstances that lack positive feedback (Duckworth et al. 2007). Research on grit has consistently highlighted the importance of perseverance (Bartone, Kelly & Matthews 2013; Maddi et al. 2012; Strayhorn 2014), passion (Duckworth & Seligman 2006; Mueller et al. 2017; Robertson-Kraft & Duckworth 2014) and self-regulation (Duckworth & Gross 2014; Duckworth & Seligman 2005; Moffitt et al. 2011; Wolters 2003), which are further described hereunder.

Perseverance

Originally conceptualised by Clark (1935), perseverance is a trait that enables employees to endure and overcome challenges in their organisations (Stoltz 1997). Two constructs of perseverance crucial to success have been identified – perceived control over adversity and perceived responsibility to the outcome of adversity (Markman, Baron & Balkin 2005). Eisenberger and Leonard (1980) demonstrated that an individual’s course of action, the amount of effort, their sustained resilience and length of endurance are influenced by their perseverance. The importance of perseverance and related constructs (such as persistence and resilience) when facing challenges has been demonstrated in studies of self-efficacy, exhaustion of control resources and goal orientation (Bandura 1977; Baumeister et al. 1998). In recent years, the interest in the empirical study of perseverance as a trait predictor has been revived in positive psychology (Peterson & Seligman 2004) and in entrepreneurial cognitive processing (Markman et al. 2005).

Passion

Passion has been categorised as a motivational construct, described as a strong proclivity towards a significant activity an individual enjoys (Vallerand 2007) and a strong inclination to engage in specific activities (Philippe et al. 2010). Motivation and passion, while related, are distinct constructs. Passion is more specifically associated with an intense, positive inclination towards a specific task (Vallerand et al. 2003), while motivation entails a range of psychological forces encouraging individuals to exert effortful behaviour (Gatewood et al. 2002). This distinction has been demonstrated empirically when comparing intrinsic and extrinsic motivation to passion (Vallerand et al. 2003) with passion influencing motivation (Vallerand 2007). Passion can therefore be considered a domain-specific motivational construct (Chen, Yao & Kotha 2009), important in the entrepreneurship and management literature (Mueller et al. 2017). The importance of passion in the successful outcome of particular tasks has been confirmed by Baum and Locke (2004), Vallerand et al. (2014), Houlfort et al. (2015), and has recently been shown to be important in venture success (Mueller et al. 2017).

Self-regulation

Self-regulation relates to the self-control behaviour exhibited in the pursuit of goal attainment (Mischel & Ayduk 2002) and has been referred to as self-control (Duckworth & Gross 2014), impulse control (Metcalfe & Mischel 1999) and delay of gratification and self-discipline (Mischel & Underwood 1974). Here, self-regulation is the broad description of behaviours that reflect an individual’s capacity to control responses in resisting temptation to achieve long-term goals (Baumeister, Vohs & Tice 2007; Metcalfe & Mischel 1999; Mischel & Underwood 1974; Mischel et al. 2010). Such regulatory competence highlights the importance of control over emotions, thoughts and behaviours in order to prioritise the attainment of a long-term goal. Self-control (as a construct within the self-regulation paradigm) serves as a powerful predictor of numerous consequential outcomes, such as general intelligence and socio-economic status (Duckworth & Seligman 2005; Moffitt et al. 2011). Interventions aimed at enhancing self-regulation techniques such as mindfulness, problem-solving methods and employed cognitive behavioural training have proven to successfully enhance self-regulatory capacity (Piquero, Jennings & Farrington 2010). Self-regulatory processes have been researched in entrepreneurship and innovation literature (Baron & Henry 2010; Mitchell et al. 2007).

Grit within a self-regulatory framework

The cognitive-affective personality system (CAPS) has been well-studied as a framework for understanding self-regulation and underscores the importance of contextual stimuli on cognitive-affective processing, a determinant of behaviour (Mischel & Ayduk 2002; Mischel & Underwood 1974). Mischel and Ayduk (2002) further developed the CAPS paradigm demonstrating that certain cognitive-affective strategies assisted individuals in resisting immediate gratification in pursuit of temporally distant goals. For example, the ability to alter the mental...
representation of a current temptation or redirecting attentional focus (cognitive reappraisal) is an effective strategy within the delay gratification paradigm. Research has demonstrated that individuals are able to control levels of motivation, representing motivational regulation strategies (Wolters 2003). Such strategies are thought to be principally important when individuals are facing impediments to their continued engagement and effort in certain tasks (Wolters 1998, 2003). In line with this, and recent studies (Datu 2017; Hill et al. 2016; Mueller et al. 2017; Vela et al. 2015), we conceptualise grit as a malleable construct, as opposed to a static personality trait. We propose that grit should be conceptualised within a cognitive-affective framework of self-regulation in order to identify and understand grit-enhancing strategies.

The central interest of this article, and in response to the fractionated studies on grit and self-regulation, is an integrative framework for understanding grit within a cognitive-affective framework of self-regulation.

**Research methodology**

**Population and sample**

This study delineates the qualitative insights obtained from CIOs and ClnOs, as innovation leaders in South Africa. The population selected for this research comprised senior managers with positions as CIOs and ClnOs, for at least 2 years, from private companies in Johannesburg, South Africa. Chief information officers and ClnOs are essential to innovation and need to innovate under increasingly challenging circumstances. In addition, this is the first study on grit in South Africa, despite its diverse range of challenges, and the economic importance of CIOs and ClnOs. Such executives need to innovate rapidly in a challenging environment and solve dynamic challenges as they arise and are consequently an ideal population to investigate the potential strategies used to exhibit gritty behaviour.

**Demographic analysis of participants**

The semi-structured interviews were conducted with 40 participants across 10 industries (Table 1). The majority of the predominantly male (90%) respondents were in the finance and insurance industry. Overall, 27 CIOs and 13 ClnOs were interviewed. Participants were involved in at least one project that encompassed technological and innovative components pursued over a period of at least 2 years to satisfy the long-term construct of grit.

**Research design and data collection**

A qualitative interpretation was followed to unpack the insights obtained from the semi-structured, face-to-face interviews. This process permits the interviewees to freely propose their own perspectives without being influenced by the perceptions of the interviewer (Johnson & Harris 2002) and enables a deeper understanding of relevant concepts. In addition, qualitative approaches are preferred to quantitative approaches when the concept is complex, ambiguous or lacks existing knowledge (Blumberg, Cooper & Schindler 2014) and is therefore better suited to explore grit as a behavioural trait within a cognitive-affective framework. Grit was described to the interviewees as a set of behaviours that enable individuals to pursue long-term goals under challenging conditions and multiple setbacks.

The initial interview questions were the following:

- In order to overcome challenging situations in your workplace environment, what thinking processes do you use that enable you to exhibit gritty behaviour?
- How does your organisation help you to achieve this?
- Please provide a reason for your answer(s).

These questions were designed to engage the interviewees without bias and allow them to express their own perspectives on grit. Subsequent questions were directed to discern the participants’ meaning associated with specific responses and elicit descriptions regarding (1) how the individual makes decisions, (2) his or her attitude towards team members and the organisation, and (3) how he or she perseveres and remains motivated. Questions included, ‘How would you define grit?’, ‘From your work experience thus far, how do you overcome failure in challenging projects?’, ‘Under what circumstances is failure acceptable?’ and ‘How do you stay motivated?’

**Data analysis and interpretation**

The interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA) method of data analysis was used and involved the collection of verbal data from a knowledgeable source for the purpose of gathering insight and unpacking constructs relevant to the research questions (Smith 1996). A simple interpretative approach of thematic analysis was undertaken by identifying keywords that designate the principle underlying constructs of the interviewees. Thematic analysis is a process of analysing a data set and identifying repeated patterns of meaning, that is, themes (Aronson 1995; Braun & Clarke 2006). Transcripts were created from each interview and studied intensely to identify common themes that reflected the interviewees’ perspectives on grit and strategies.

---

**TABLE 1: Number of participants interviewed per industry.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industries</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance and insurance</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT and electronics</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCG</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICT, information and communication technology; FMCG, fast-moving customer goods.
Relevant data were identified across the data sets, consisting of listed patterns of experience and features of interest (codes) from the transcribed data (Aronson 1995; Braun & Clarke 2006), where codes are raw data that are interpreted in a meaningful way. Consequently, the codes and patterns that emerged were grouped into sub-themes that highlighted linked patterns of experience and meaning (Braun & Clarke 2006). Sub-themes were combined and integrated into themes (Aronson 1995). Thematic relationships between different respondents were explored in a systematic and continuous reflective researcher dialogue (Braun & Clarke 2006). Themes were extracted from the data and similar themes were named and grouped together to create higher order themes, as indicated in Figure 1. Once identified, themes were analysed for coherence and identifiable discrepancies across the entire data set (Aronson 1995).

**Thematic results**

Three higher order themes, with 12 categories, emerged through thematic analysis of the data set. The higher order themes were related to the following dominant strategies: (1) assumption of the appropriate temporal perspective and perpetual self-evaluation by the individual, (2) intervention between the individual and challenges and (3) the relationship between the individual and the ecosystem. The thematic process revealed that emergent themes paralleled several theories in psychology and entrepreneurship research. Each theme and associated category will be delineated in more detail below.

**Individual (temporal orientation and perpetual evaluation)**

The first category of cognitive-affective strategies that emerged was temporal perspective (20% of respondents; Figure 2). The awareness of their strong personal identity was linked to a goal-oriented future perspective. In the next category, participants subjected themselves to perpetual evaluation through displaying either self-dissatisfaction or satisfaction (35% of respondents). We found that participants motivated themselves through constant feedback from their progress, whether positive or negative. Under circumstances where individuals realised that their current actions were not meeting their desired future goals, they were able to adjust their behaviour accordingly in order to move closer to desired future states.

**Individual and challenges (system thinking and framing)**

As a prevalent pattern of behaviour, we observed that 40% of the participants used a system thinking approach when dealing with challenges (Figure 2). Participants applied system thinking by either identifying and solving sub-goals or addressing the challenge in its entirety. System thinking was associated with the fourth category of framing, which related to participants’ orientation to failure. Failure was used as a motivator to identify new avenues for growth by 57.5% of the participants.

**Individual and ecosystem (strength and resource gathering and motivational orientation)**

The fifth category is related to the relationship of the individuals to their environment (including their team, organisation and external networks), which they leveraged to achieve goals. Sixty-five per cent of the interviewees suggested that their teams or broader ecosystems often complemented their personal weaknesses, as indicated in Figure 2. The final category was associated with the motivational orientation of participants, with participants motivated to succeed beyond personal gains, instead of focusing on the potential benefits to their ecosystem (40% of participants).

**Discussion**

Data analysis resulted in three higher-order themes (Individual; Individual and Challenges; Individual and Ecosystem), each consisting of two paradoxical strategies used by CIOs and ClnOs. The six paradoxical strategies identified were temporal perspective, perpetual evaluation, motivational orientation, strength and resource gathering, system thinking and framing, as shown in Figure 3. The proposed model of grit provides a framework for potentially enhancing the grit of innovation leaders by employing the six strategies.

Results revealed that the participants showed a strong understanding of their current strengths and weaknesses (present-self) in addition to focusing on their future goals (future-self). A preference towards a certain time (past, present or future) has been shown to significantly influence decision-making, ultimately influencing behaviour (Destin & Oyserman 2009; Nuttin 2014). It therefore follows that individuals who are more future-oriented may be able to better restrain themselves from impulsive decisions that may negatively impact important long-term goals as they have a clear connection between present and future. It has been shown that people will act in the interest of their future-self if they can visualise the two selves as connected and not conflicted (Lewis & Oyserman 2015). Therefore, effectively visualising the desired future scenario and the benefits that could be obtained as a result, assuming that intensity and duration of effort is adjusted accordingly, is an important grit-enhancing strategy.

Another key finding is that individuals had two perspectives on perpetual evaluation: constant dissatisfaction and satisfaction. Accordingly, participants used both perspectives to motivate themselves through challenging circumstances. While Bandura and Cervone (1983) proposed self-dissatisfaction theory to explain the comparative cognitive-affective processes individuals use to motivate themselves in long-term goal attainment, the presence of both these perspectives as a source of self-directed motivation has not, to our knowledge, been shown previously.

Participants who employed the systems thinking strategy favoured the adoption of a simpler sub-goal as opposed to attempting to overcome the obstacle in its entirety.
FIGURE 1: Examples of thematic analysis of interview data related to the higher-order theme (a) ‘Individual’; (b) ‘Individual and Challenges’; and (c) ‘Individual and Ecosystem’.
Participants revealed a strong desire to succeed stimulated by the belief that they have the capabilities to succeed. Observations pointed to the use of individual strengths and ecosystem strengths to improve the likelihood of the project success, and the leveraging networks to address remaining weaknesses. Establishing and maintaining a good ecosystem therefore becomes a potentially powerful intervention strategy to assist employees to remain gritty in adverse circumstances. Such an ecosystem of social support enables individuals to leverage resources more effectively, alleviating stress and mitigating potential resource losses. Strength-based theory focuses on identifying and building strengths in order to overcome challenges (Bouskila-Yam & Kluger 2011; Buckingham & Clifton 2001). Employees may therefore exhibit higher performance when they leverage their strengths (Buckingham 2010).

Results indicated the importance of intrinsic and altruistic forms of motivation, seeking both meaning and pleasure within their work. Similarly to Von Culin et al. (2014), we found altruism to be an important aspect of grit, and that gritty individuals have a high sense of altruism providing meaning in their work, motivating them to work harder with sustained interest for longer periods of time. Altruistic behaviour in combination with intrinsic motivation better predicts performance, perseverance and productivity (Grant 2008).

**Practical value**

The research presented here elucidated the importance of understanding the strategies used to address challenges in pursuing long-term goals, and that these strategies impact the perseverance and motivation of innovation leaders. It is therefore imperative to understand such leaders’ perspectives and current strategies of undertaking complex tasks. Although failures result from the high level of risk required to maintain competitive advantage, organisations remain biased against failure. The lack of learning from failure and exploiting it as an unforeseen advantage makes it difficult to innovate as necessary. ‘System thinking’ had the lowest frequency of responses, highlighting the importance of working on this cognitive-affective strategy to improve gritty behaviour. In addition, the importance of leveraging networks and organisational ecosystem were highlighted. Organisations can use the insights gained here to improve grit-enhancing strategies of innovative leaders.

**Limitations and future work**

This study has a number of limitations that should be taken into account with respect to the model produced from our results. Firstly, qualitative studies have a number of inherent limitations which include the self-report nature of the measures used. While we have attempted to mitigate this...
through comprehensive thematic analysis with oversight from the authors, future work should test this model quantitatively in a variety of different contexts. Secondly, we did not measure the individual success of participants and based this solely on the interviewees’ accounts of success and their current status in their company.

This work focused on CIOs and CIOs in South Africa and only 10% of interviewees were female, limiting the generalisability of the research outcomes. Qualitative methods enable the interviewer to derive a number of plausible insights based on the participants’ responses and are highly suited to investigate novel or understudied subjects. Such methods, however, are limited in quantifying the relative importance of specific components in relation to the overall construct of interest (grit). The preliminary model developed here could be subjected to future quantitative enquiry in a variety of fields to enhance the reproducibility, validity and transferability of the findings.

Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to explore what grit-enhancing strategies South African innovation leaders currently employ to remain successful in a challenging innovation environment. Through the qualitative extrapolation of relevant themes, a preliminary grit model was developed. The findings identified the existence of three higher-order themes, that is, individual, individual and challenges, and individual and ecosystem, containing six paradoxical grit-enhancing strategies. This novel conceptualisation of grit-enhancing paradoxical strategies may enable organisations to assist their employees to examine and reflect on their own thought-processing in pursuit of personal and professional goal attainment. The insights gained from this study may add to the existing literature on grit, mindsets and decision-making. While our interviews revealed constructs of grit previously identified (perseverance, motivation and self-regulation), this study has gained several new insights. In addition to the new model of grit developed, this study examined the underlying processes that result in gritty behaviour, as opposed to quantitatively correlating grit with measures of success. Possible strategies have been identified that may be implemented to enhance innovation leaders’ grit in organisations.
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